Mr. SPEAKER.—So far as I am aware, with regard to such cases when a Minister gives a reply, it should be taken as covering the full facts. If the member wants some more information he may put a question, I have no objection. But merely because he feels that the answer is not full there cannot be any breach of privilege at all.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—The concerned Minister is not in a position to tell what actually the position is by going through the records available. Therefore let him have an opportunity to have all the materials before him and then reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is not correct in saying that the reply given is not in an appropriate way. It is for me to judge whether the question is sufficiently discussed here or not. Merely because the Hon'ble Member feels that the Chief Minister or the Minister concerned is not giving proper replies, it cannot be said to be a breach of privilege or that the member's right is curtailed.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Are there any experts among the members of the Committee who are in a position to find out the defects or otherwise in the key board?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I do not think any mechanical expert is necessary. We examined the various machines and the various arrangements made and judged them. We were satisfied that the key board designed by Sri Anantha Subba Rao was the best.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Was it a unanimous opinion or a majority opinion?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—So far as I could remember, I think every one of us agreed that it was the best.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are there any technical defects brought to the notice of the Government?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—We went through all the machines and we found that this person had taken a lot of trouble, had worked over his machine for a number of years and improved it. Then we said that it was the best.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know whether Government have placed orders for machines and if so, when they are going to expect them?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The answer is given in clause (*d*).

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—It is stated that quotations have been called for. When do they expect to receive them?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot definitely say when exactly they will be received.

Restoration of Major and Minor Tanks in Shimoga Taluk.

*Q.—2732. Smt. RATHNAMMA MADHAVA RAO (Shimoga).—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the total number of major and minor tanks in Shimoga taluk;

(*b*) the number of major and minor tanks restored in the said taluk during the last five years (yearwar figures may be furnished)?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Major Tanks ... 63
Minor Tanks ... 741

(*b*)

Year	Major Tanks.	Minor Tanks.
1952-53	2	39
1953-54	1	19
1954-55	1	8
1955-56	1	5
1956-57	1	1
Total	6	63

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾಧವರಾವ್.—ಕೆರೆಗಳ ಜೀರ್ಣೋದ್ಧಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಧನ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗುತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ಪೆಷರ್ ಮೈನರ್ ಇರಿಗೇಷನ್ ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಎಂದು ಹೋದವರ್ಷ ಮಾಡಿದೆವು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರದಿಂದ ಧನಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾಧವರಾವ್.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಂಕಿಅಂಶಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 63 ಮೇಜರ್ ಕೆರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆರು ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನೂ 741 ಮೈನರ್ ಕೆರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 63 ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಜೀರ್ಣೋದ್ಧಾರಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಮಾಪುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—1957-58ನೆಯ ಇನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 35 ಕೆರೆಗಳ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ 45 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ 60 ಸಾವಿರರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರೊಗ್ರಾಂ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ 60 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಗುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಎಂದರೆ ಯಾವಯಾವ ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಮೈನರ್ ಇರಿಗೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರೊಗ್ರಾಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಎಕ್ಸಿಕ್ಯೂಟಿವ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರು ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಂದ ಬಂದಂಥ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಗಣನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ವರ್ಷ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾಧವರಾವ್.—ಮೇಜರ್ ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್‌ವರ್ಕ್ಸ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಯೋಚನೆ ಇದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಎಷ್ಟೋಸಲ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಮೇಜರ್ ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನಾದರೂ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ವರ್ಕ್ಸ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ. ಅದರೆ acreage cess ಹಾಕಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ನೋಡಿ ಕೆಲವು ಮೇಜರ್ ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಿದೆ.

Sanction of D. A. to the Employees under Work-Charged Establishment of the P.W.D.

Q.—2988. Sri N. RACHIAH (Hunsur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) is it a fact that Government have passed orders in November 1957 sanctioning D. A. to the workers under the work-charged establishment in the Public Works Department;

(*b*) if so, is it a fact that the said order has not been implemented so far;

(*c*) will the Government direct the concerned officers to implement the order with immediate effect in the interest of the workers ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of clause (*a*) above.

(*c*) Do

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know how many persons are working in the work-charged establishment in the State and for how many years they have been working temporarily ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I have not got that information.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know whether Government propose to see that those persons who have been working there for a long time are given permanent jobs ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I am glad to inform the member that since this question was answered Government have taken a decision and have enhanced their dearness allowance as in the case of permanent Government servants. Regarding the question just now put by the member regarding making them permanent, it is under active consideration of Government. At least a certain percentage of the work-charged establishment is proposed to be made permanent.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know whether any daily allowance has been sanctioned to the temporary persons ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Yes.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—If so, why Government has not implemented it so far as they are concerned ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—It is under issue.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—There was an order passed by the previous Government in regard to D.A; may I know whether that order has been modified or continued ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—It is still being continued.

Allotment of N.E.S. Block for Channarayapatna Taluk.

Q.—3022. Sri N. G. NARASIMHE GOWDA (Sravanabelagola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that they are being requested since a long time to allot N.E.S. Block for Channarayapatna taluk;

(*b*) whether they would immediately sanction two blocks for the said taluks—one consisting of Channarayapatna, Sravanabelagola and the village of Dandiganahalli hobli with headquarters at Channarayapatna and